Introduction:

LEA: Lewis Center for Educational Research

Academy for Academic Excellence

Contact (Name, Title, Email, Phone Number):

Lisa Lamb, Interim President/CEO, lamb@lcer.org, 760-946-5414

Valli Andreasen, Elementary Principal, vandreasen@lcer.org, 760-946-5414

Wesley Kanawyer, Secondary Principal, wkanawyer@lcer.org, 760-946-5414

LCAP Years: 2014-2018

Lewis Center for Educational Research's Mission: The purpose of the Lewis Center for Educational Research (LCER) is to ensure our schools and programs prepare students for success in a global society through data driven, innovative and research proven practices in a safe and inclusive culture.

Academy for Academic Excellence's Mission: The Academy for Academic Excellence (AAE) exists to prepare students for post-secondary success through a relevant, rigorous college preparatory education.

To accomplish our organization and school's missions, we must ensure that <u>all</u> students are reaching mastery. We will do this by creating common core based formative and summative assessments, implementing intentional academic interventions, integrating instructional technology and increasing cross-curricular lessons and collaboration across all grade levels.

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The

information contained in the LCAP, or annual update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, courtappointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process	Impact on LCAP
Stakeholder input has been gathered via:	During this school year, the Foundation Board
Foundation Board Strategic Planning Sessions	worked with an outside consultant, Dr. Pat
Parent and Pastries monthly meeting feedback	Caldwell. Through quarterly strategic planning
Weekly Professional Learning Community collaboration	sessions, task forces and targeted support, she
Special parent forums	has assisted the Foundation Board and LCER
AAE Board Meeting discussions	Executive Team in collaborating to clarify and
Academic Leadership Team Meetings	develop value statements, mission, vision,
Schoolwide Intervention Team Meetings	S.W.O.T analysis, critical issues, goals,
Senior student forums	objectives and action plan. Each of these areas
Other feedback	of strategic planning will set the stage for the
	future of the Lewis Center for Educational
Meeting Types and Dates:	Research and the Academy for Academic
Board Meetings were held on the second Thursday of each month.	Excellence.
Foundation Board Meetings are held on the second Monday of each	
quarter.	These meetings and forums have informed the
Strategic Planning Board Meetings were held on November 6,	revisions of our goals and spending priorities. As
February 25 and February 29 th .	a result of these discussions, we have sought
Parents and Pastries met on the last Thursday of each month.	data to inform our goals and progress indicators.
Parent and Teacher Committee (PTC) meetings were held on the last	We will continue to use these methods of
Thursday of each month.	communication to ensure that the AAE is
	meeting the needs of its students and
	community.
Types of Communication and Outreach:	
Social Media Postings (Facebook, Instagram, Twitter)	After SBAC and AAE assessment baseline data
Parent Mass Emails	was established in 2014-2015, regular progress
Letters Mailed Home	updates are shared with stakeholders (staff,
Elementary Teacher Weekly Newsletters	parents, students, and board members).
Autodialer Messages	
Remind Text App	The AAE Administration collaborates with the
Community Events	Academic Leadership Team on a bi-weekly
AAE Today	basis. Through this forum, school goals,
School Website	programs and progress are regularly monitored.

Illuminate MyMentor (Learning Management System) School Marquee Back to School Night	This team guides the Professional Learning Community and school accountability measures such as: LCAP, WASC and the AAE Charter.
Topic Specific Assemblies New Family and Student Orientations Parent/Teacher Conferences Quarterly Progress Reports and Report Cards SBAC Scores Back Night Quarterly Awards Assemblies Parent Volunteer Workshops	The Teacher on Assignment meets with elementary grade level and middle school teams on a weekly basis to support instruction and assessment. High School Curriculum Leads meet with their department teams twice monthly to create assessment measures, discuss grading practices, and to evaluate curriculum and supplemental resources.
	The AAE K-12 teaching staff meets monthly. Topics of these meetings include: school safety, school goals, assessment, grading, and school updates.
Annual Update:	Annual Update:
We have continued to involve our stakeholders in the ongoing	The meetings and forums have continued to
schoolwide accountability plan through open parent forums, student panels and AAE Professional Learning Community collaboration.	inform the development of our goals and spending priorities. We have used the initial SBAC scores in order to focus our actions and
Parent Involvement:	services on the desired student outcomes.
• Parents and Pastries is held on the last Thursday of each month in the morning to allow for greater participation and involvement. For a period of time, evening forums were held.	Specifically, the stakeholder involvement served to:
However, the parent attendance at these meetings was extremely low. As a result, Parents and Pastries is continuing to meet only in the mornings with updates being shared via our active parent Facebook pages. These meetings continue to be an opportunity for parents to stay informed of school improvement, goals, progress and updates. There is also an open forum for parents to express their concerns and feedback	 Guide the LCER budget process by ensuring that AAE goals were reflected in all spending priorities. Any budget increases were specifically linked to student outcomes and/or the eight state priorities. Revise the 2014-15 LCAP goals to align

to administration and to be involved in the development of plans for school improvement.

- Parent Teacher Committee (PTC) meets monthly. In the beginning of the school year, we aligned the PTC and Parents and Pastries Meetings to allow for greater participation. The PTC Board is highly involved in AAE activities, athletics, field trips, consolidation, fundraising and classroom support. They work closely with the school administration and their input is often requested.
- There are currently 1,140 approved parent volunteers. The approval process includes the completion of a volunteer workshop and fingerprint clearance. Our volunteers participate as chaperones, classroom helpers, coaches, office assistants, playground helpers and PTC members.
- Special meetings are held to inform and seek input regarding major changes such as the transition to common core and changes to academic policies.

Student panels:

- Administration has met with the middle and high school Associated Student Body (ASB) Officers and members of our AAE Ambassadors to gather feedback on improving AAE's Learning Management System, MyMentor, and the classroom implementation of other technology. Additional topics of discussion with these student groups include: the effectiveness of our academic guidance, the role of extra curricular activities, new internship opportunities, and overall analysis of school policies, culture and academics.
- The Ambassadors participated in a countywide Student Voice Panel with the County Superintendent, Ted Alejandre. During this all day event, students were involved in small group feedback sessions focusing on school reform for San Bernardino County. They shared their findings with the school

with the WASC Action Plan and AAE Mission.

- Revise the AAE Technology Plan.
- Revise the LCER Mission.
- Consolidation of the three campuses.
- Revisit the grading policy and pilot grading reforms with specific grade level teams.
- Create Essential Standards Charts for English/Language Arts and Mathematics in grades K-12.
- Create scope and sequences for English/Language Arts and Mathematics to reflect the California Common Core State Standards.
- Review and revise board policies, administrative regulations and the Parent/Student Handbook.
- Review and revise the LCER Bylaws.
- Continue to implement Illuminate as an integrated student information and data management system.
- Continue to develop an AAE K-12 Assessment Plan to reflect diagnostic, formative and summative assessments.
- Adoption of integrated math and science curriculum.
- Increase participation and attendance at extra-curricular activities.
- Develop a LCER Technology Task Force to increase the integration of instructional technology.
- Pilot elementary common core aligned

 administrative team. Several high school students were invited to the 2nd Annual Mayor's Summit where they were able to meet with the Apple Valley Town Council and students from all of the local high schools. This was another forum for students to share their insight as how to improve local education and their community as a whole. The administration regularly seeks input from the Ambassadors and ASB regarding school goals, policies, culture, activities, academics and technology. 	English/Language Arts curriculum and implement the newly developed textbook adoption process.
WASC:	
 AAE had its Mid-Term WASC Review Visit last Spring. In order to prepare for the upcoming full self-study in 2018 and ongoing school improvement, the AAE Professional Learning Community (PLC) specifically analyzes the current action plan and progress toward WASC goals. The Academic Leadership Team (ALT) members will lead the WASC home and focus groups for the next self-study. The Academic Leadership Team has remained focused on the WASC and LCAP school goals in their decision-making. 	
Surveys:	
 AAE Administration Feedback Survey regarding administrative support of all staff 	
 Teachers use surveys to gather feedback to inform course and new program development. 	
 Technology Survey to gather information to teachers regarding the effectiveness and usage of paid subscriptions, iPads and laptops 	
 Grade Policy survey to gather teacher input of the current No D policy 	
 Illuminate survey for all staff to determine professional 	

de	evelopment needs
-	oundation Board and AAE School Board Committee:
	he Principals report the progress toward school goals nonthly. This year, the report format has been changed to
sp	pecifically highlight school operations, strategic planning,
-	oals and measurable objectives, and student achievement nd performance updates.
• TI re	he LCER Executive Directors give specific presentations egarding student achievement, school programs and strategic lanning to the LCER Foundation Board.
_	tudent Ambassadors share information about school culture nd programs at each AAE School Board Meeting.
• TI	he President/CEO consults the LCER Foundation Board egarding organizational vision, policies, goals and initiatives.

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the school sites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for school sites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Actions/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?

- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10)What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11)What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific school sites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12)How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13)What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget.

GOAL 1:	Increase the number of students who have met grade level proficiency in Mathematics based upon norm referenced, formative and summative assessments.		Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \underline{x} 2 \underline{x} 3 \underline{4 x} 5 \underline{x} 6 \underline{x}$ $7 \underline{x} 8 \underline{x}$ COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify		
doaL Mat		 Previous California Standards Test scores and current AAE Benchmark scores the area of mathematics. Although interventions have been put into place in gr scores and grades indicate the need for further growth. Recent Data: The most recent CST data showed that 58.7% of students are Proficien The CAASPP Data from 2014-15 showed that 36% of students "Met or Our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged student's rate is 57.7% Proficier The CAASPP Data from 2014-15 showed that 30% of Socioeconomical Exceeded" the state standards. 43.3% of students are working within the basic, below basic, and far be Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students). 64% of students are working at "nearly met or not met" state standards our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students). The EAP scores for Summative Math in 2014 Show that 14% of particip with 76% Conditionally Ready. The EAP scores for the 2014-15 CAASPP Math show that 22% of particip with 28% Conditionally Ready. On the Accuplacer test in 2014, 9% of students tested college ready in Reading and 38% College Ready in English. AP Data shows 65 students took a total of 119 exams in 2014. Of those better on at least one exam. On the Calculus AB exam, 1 out of 13(7%) 	rades kindergarten through twelfth, at and Above. Exceeded" the state standards. It and Advanced. Ily Disadvantaged students "Met or low basic domains (42.3% for our on the 2014-15 CAASPP (70% for bating students are College Ready cipating students are College Ready Math, 62% College Ready in a students, 31(47.7%) scored a 3 or		
		 exam, 3 out of 6(50%) scored a 3 or better. AP Data shows 74 students took a total of 93 exams in 2015. Of those students, 56(75.6%) scored a 3 better on at least one exam. On the Calculus AB exam, 2 out of 7(29%) scored a 4. On the Calculus B 			

exam, 0 out of 1(0%) scored a 3 or better. In 2014 our CAHSEE Data shows out of 101 students 95(94%) passed math. Our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Student data shows out of 25 students 21(84%) passed math. In 2015 our CAHSEE Data shows out of 112 students 108(96%) passed math. Our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Student data shows out of 24 students 22(92%) passed math. On the ACT exam, 72% of the students who tested met the College Readiness Benchmark for Math. On the ACT exam, 64% of the students who tested met the College Readiness Benchmark for Math in 2015. Goal Applies to: School: Academy for Academic Excellence Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All				
		LCAP Ye	ear 1: 2014-15	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:				
ŀ	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Through PLC collaboration, teachers have created formative and summative assessments in the areas of Mathematics. Grades K-5 have created their assessments in Illuminate. Secondary math created the assessments in Carnegie.		Grades K- 12	<u>x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Illuminate Package- \$22,000 Carnegie- \$43,540
intentional re-teac mastered. Teache	e will be adjusted to include hing of math skills not yet ers reflected on assessment ed students for leveled group	Grades K- 5	<u>x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English	Classified Support Salaries \$10,000

(remediation, exten Transitioned to inte curriculum. Pilot En	me", based on specific need ided learning, advancement). grated, common core aligned ngageNY math curriculum in rnegie curriculum in grades 6-	Grades K- 9	proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Approved Textbooks: Engage NY- \$2,200 Carnegie- \$43,540
			ear 2 : 2015-16	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Students' overall proficiency on growth targets within this goal a		ive and summative assessments will increase by at lea	st 3% until
Actions/Services Scope of Service			Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Continue the transition to integrated, common core aligned curriculum. Grade 5 will begin EngageNY pilot and Grade 10 will phase in the Integrated Math II.		Grades 5 and 10	_x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Approved Textbooks \$31,626
Hire an additional secondary math teacher/coach to support the demands of additional courses in the master schedule and to provide intensive in- class support for all secondary math teachers.		Grades 6- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Teacher Salary \$85,000
Complete the creat	ion of teacher-developed	Grades K-	<u>_x_</u> ALL	Illuminate

assessments. Teac assessments in Illu Teachers will indivi analyze results to in	n formative and summative thers will create the minate or Carnegie software. dually and collaboratively mprove instruction and target targeted intervention.	12.	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify) ear 3: 2016-17	Package- \$22,000 Carnegie Digital Software- \$14,000
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Measures and Illuminate asso our students, school-wide. In will be implemented in grade inform instruction. This will be curriculum. In addition, the integrated approach to teach more appropriately to meet so 1. Increase student mastery be	s Test feedba essments da n order to er es 3-8 and re be better fac middle schoo ning math wi student need by 4% at eacl	ack, more recent SBAC scores, Carnegie Curriculum- ta have indicated a lack of proficiency in approxima issure that the deficiencies are addressed, standardi gular benchmarks will be administered to monitor g cilitated by Elementary's purchase of a new, commo of math department is now much more familiar with th their Carnegie curriculum and will be able to pac	tely 63% of zed pacing growth and on math n the e instruction
Actions/Services Scope of Service		Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Complete the transition to integrated, common core aligned curriculum. Grade 11 will phase in Integrated Math III using Carnegie Math.		Grade 11	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Curriculum- \$43,540 Student Graphing Calculators- \$6,000
Recruit part time math teacher to teach three Gra		Grades 6-	<u>_x</u> ALL	Teacher

intervention sections.	12	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Salary \$25,000
Revise diagnostic, common formative and summative assessments drafted in the 15-16 SY as a result of PLC analysis.	Grades K- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Illuminate Package- \$22,000 Carnegie- \$31,626

GOAL 2:	Incre	ase writing proficiency scores on summative assessments.	Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \underline{x} 2 \underline{x} 3 \underline{4 x} 5 \underline{x} 6 \underline{x}$ $7 \underline{x} 8 \underline{x}$ COE only: 910 Local : Specify
Identified Need:		Previous California Standards Test scores and previous Schoolwide Writing As need in the area of overall writing and/or written expression. Although ELA sup grades kindergarten through twelfth through elementary flex or secondary ELA scores and class grades indicate the need for further growth. Supporting Data: • The most recent CST data showed that while 68.5% of students were p	ports have been put into place in intervention courses, summative
		 The most recent CST data showed that while 00.5% of students were p 31.5% were working within the basic, below basic, and far below basic i 	

•	The 2014-15 CAASPP data showed that while 58% of students "met or exceeded" the state standards in overall ELA, 41% were working within the "nearly met or not met" in ELA. For our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students, 59.6% are Proficient or Advanced and 40.4 are basic, below basic or far below basic. For our Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students, 52% are "met or exceeded" state standards on the CAASPP ELA and 47% are "nearly met or not met" the state standards on the 2014-15 CAASPP. The EAP scores for ELA in 2014 Show that 37% of participating students are College Ready. The EAP scores for ELA in 2015 Show that 38% of participating students are College Ready. On the Accuplacer test in 2014, 9% of students tested college ready in Reading and 38% College Ready in English
	 English. On the 2014 ACT exam, 72% of the students that tested met the College Readiness Benchmark, 60% met the Reading College Readiness Benchmark and 84% met the English College Readiness Benchmark. On the 2015 ACT exam, 73% met the Reading College Readiness Benchmark and 100% met the English College Readiness Benchmark. On the 2015-2016 ACT, 24 students participated in 31 ACT exams. Of those students, 22 (91%) met the College Readiness benchmark for English, 18 (75%) met the College Readiness benchmark for Mathematics, and 16 (66%) met the College Readiness benchmark for Reading. 2014 SAT Writing Mean Score was 520 for those students that chose to take the test. 2015 SAT Writing Mean Score was 505 for those students that chose to take the test. 2016 SAT Reading mean score was 535 for students who opted to participate in the exam, 535 was the mean SAT Math score, and 541 was the mean score for SAT Writing. AP Data shows 65 students took a total of 119 exams in 2014. Of those students, 31 (47.7%) scored a 3 or
	 better on at least one exam. AP Data shows 74 students took a total of 93 exams in 2015. Of those students, 56(75.6%) scored a 3 or better on at least one exam. AP Data shows that 64 students took a total of 112 exams in 2016. Results are not available until July 2016. On the English Language and Composition exam, 17 out of 37 (45%) scored a 3 or better. On the English Literature and Composition exam, 9 out of 23 (39%) scored a 3 or better. On the 2015 English Language and Composition exam, 17 out of 28 (61%) scored a 3 or better. On the English Literature and Composition exam, 13 out of 17 (76%) scored a 3 or better. In 2014 our CAHSEE Data in ELA shows, out of 102 students tested, 93 (91%) passed. Our Economically Disadvantaged Student data shows out of 26 students 19 (73%) passed.

	data shows out nic Excellence	s, out of 112 students tested, 106 (95%) passed. Ou of 24 students 21 (88%) passed.	r Economically
		ar 1: 2014-15	
Expected Annual Increase student proficiency a Measurable Outcomes:		common writing rubrics until growth targets within th	
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Teachers created common formative assessments in Illuminate in the areas of English/Language Arts. Elementary teachers will create comprehensive ELA formative and summative assessments to include all of the CCSS domains.	Grades K-5	<u>x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Illuminate Package- \$22,000
The daily schedule was adjusted to include intentional re-teaching of skills not yet mastered.	Grades K-5	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	None beyond base
The AAE hired a Reading Specialist to focus on early literacy interventions.	Grades K-5	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Teacher Salary \$85,000 Employee Benefits

			\$21,250
	LCAP Yea	ı ı r 2 : 2015-16	
Expected Annual Increase student proficiency a Measurable Outcomes:	is measured by	common writing rubrics until growth targets within th	is goal are met.
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Elementary and middle school teachers will further develop and refine common formative language arts exams in Illuminate. High school ELA teachers will create common writing assessments scored with a common rubric and aligned to the CCSS writing standards. All of these scores will be entered into Illuminate to allow for PLC reflection and analysis.	Grades K-12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Illuminate Package- \$22,000
First grade teachers will pilot EngageNY ELA curriculum to test the impact of this new curriculum on students' mastery of writing and other language arts skills.	Grade 1	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	EngageNY ELA curriculum- \$1,500
Professional Development to support writing instruction. Writing will be emphasized across content areas. Cross-curricular projects will be developed in all grades.	Grades K-12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Travel and Conferences \$10,000
	LCAP Yea	r 3 : 2016-17	ł

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Increase student proficiency as measured by common writing rubrics until growth targets within this goal are met.			
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
The Schoolwide Writing Portfolio will be developed for grades K-8 and be scored with common rubrics. The K-12 scores will be analyzed for trends and instruction will address identified weaknesses.	Grades 6-12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	None beyond base	
Teachers will begin to pilot newly available CCSS aligned ELA curriculum.	Specific grades TBD/All levels will begin to pilot	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Curriculum \$50,000	
Professional Development to support writing instruction. Writing will be emphasized across content areas. Cross-curricular projects will be developed in all grades.	Grades K-12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Travel and Conferences \$10,000	

GOAL 3:		nent the intentional use of form le assessments, to ensure stude ards.			es: <u>x5_x_6_x_</u> <u>x_</u>
Identified Need:AAE teachers had not previously developed common formative assessments. The Professional Learning Community process has shown the importance of using teacher-developed assessment data to drive collaborative analysis of instruction. The outside summative assessments were previously used to make curriculum decisions or course placement decisions for students. However, these results were not disaggregated in time to impact student mastery within a given year. As a result, interventions were not built into a student's school day, but were instead, offered as optional afterschool tutoring.Goal Applies to:Schools:Academy for Academic Excellence Analizable Dupil Subgrouper All					
		Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Al		ear 1: 2014-15	
Expected Measu Outcor	rable		vill increase in classes. Tea	n each grade level as a result of instruction based upon achers will base flex or intervention instruction on the fo	
	Actions/Services		Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Elementary teachers will use flex time to provide intentional remediation to students in language arts, specifically reading fluency, vocabulary and reading comprehension. Secondary teachers will organize homeroom time to allow for students who need additional time to master English or Math concepts.		Grades K- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Illuminate- SIS \$14,350 DNA \$5,538 Item Bank \$2,076 Renaissance \$19,280	
The Readi	ing Spec	cialist and Education Specialist	Grades K-	<u>x</u> ALL	Teacher

will work with low performing students in elementary grades to provide additional support and help students raise their reading proficiency at a more rapid rate.		5	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Salary \$75,000 Employee benefits \$18,750	
Secondary math and English intervention courses created. These small group classes have additional support from instructional assistants. Middle school students, who had not proved proficiency, were placed in a fundamentals math course in addition to their grade level math class.		Grades 6- 12	<u>x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	None beyond base	
		LCAP Ye	ear 2: 2015-16		
Expected Annual	Appected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Passing rates of core classes will increase in each grade level as a result of instruction based upon identified student needs within the core classes. Teachers will base flex time or intervention instruction on the formative assessment data which will result in improved student performance.				
Measurable	student needs within the core of	lasses. Teac	hers will base flex time or intervention instruction on the		
Measurable Outcomes:	student needs within the core of	lasses. Teac	hers will base flex time or intervention instruction on the		
Measurable Outcomes: Ac Elementary teacher intentional remediat arts and math. Seco homeroom time to a	student needs within the core of assessment data which will res	classes. Teac sult in improve Scope of	hers will base flex time or intervention instruction on the ed student performance.	e formative Budgeted	

grades to provide targeted support and help students raise their reading proficiency at a more rapid rate.Grades 6- 12Secondary intervention math and English courses will continue to have additional support from instructional assistants. Middle school students, who had not proved proficiency, will be placed in a fundamentals math course in lieu of their grade level math class.Grades 6- 12			Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Fundamental s Math Curriculum- \$7,500 Instructional Materials-
10701 matri 01855.			Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,000
			ear 3: 2016-17	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Measurable identified needs within the core classes. Teachers will base flex-time or intervention instruction on the formati			
Ac	tions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Flex time will be driven by common formative assessments and students will be regrouped based on mastery of identified essential standards. Additional support will be provided to the students in greatest need.Grades K- 5		<u>x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Instructional Materials- \$10,000	
Secondary intervention math and English courses will continue to have additional support from instructional assistants.		<u>x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Education Specialist/Ins tructional Assistants- Salaries in base funding calculations	

GOAL 4:	Incorr curric	porate the use of technology an ulum.	d technologi	cal advances into its core	Related State ar Prioritie 1 <u>x</u> 2 <u>x</u> 3_4 <u>x</u> 7 <u>x</u> 8_ COE only: 9_ Local : Specify	s: <5_x6_x_ x
Identified Need : Today's students face a rapidly changing world where technology is pervasive. It is no longer sufficient to teach technology as a subject, but rather it is time to leverage the power of technology as a tool for more effective teaching and learning. The ultimate goal of our One to One Laptop Learning Program is to equip our students with the knowledge and tools to become effective life long learners. Goal Applies to: Schools: Academy for Academic Excellence					fective	
				r 1 : 2014-15		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: Instruction based on the CCSS will show an increase in the implementation of technology throughout grades K- 12. Students will show an increase in technology proficiency for real-world application.					ut grades K-	
	Ad	ctions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identi	ified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Expanded the grades 4-12.	e one-to	o-one laptop program to include	Grades 4- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Foster YouthRedesignate proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		Wireless Access Points and Infastructure- \$12,230
Increased the grade learnin		er of iPads available for primary rs.	Grades K- 5	<u>x_</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish	n Learners	72 iPads= \$44,000

Carefully reviewed the paid subscriptions for applications and digital access. Ensure that students had access to programs to support the core content areas.		Grades K- 12	Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	List paid subscriptions to include textbook support
		LCAP Yea	r 2 : 2015-16	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:			increase in the implementation of technology througho ology proficiency for real-world application.	ut grades K-
Actio	ons/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Create a standing computer lab on the new 3-5 campus. This will be used as the high school yearbook classroom and the kindergarten through third grade lab.		Grades K- 3 and 9- 12.	_x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Lab set up= \$1,200
 Purchase additional subscriptions and applications: Reading applications for iPads to be used during intervention blocks allowing students to practice targeted reading skills. Upgrade IXL subscription to include targeted grammar practice. 		Grades K- 3	<u>_x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Reading Applications =\$1,000 IXL Grammar= \$3,500

 Purchase additional technology equipment: ELMOs for secondary teachers, as needed Increase iPads in K-3 to replace out-of-date equipment and an increase in student population Two desktop PCs for grades K-3 and 4-12 (technology rich classes only) to allow access for all students. Apple TVs for one-to-one classrooms to allow teacher mobility and increased student interaction. 	Grades K- 12	<u>_x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	ELMOS= \$3,800 72 desktop computers= \$23, 450 32 Apple TVs= \$2,400	
LCAP Year 3: 2016-17 Expected Annual Measurable Instruction based on the CCSS will show an increase in the implementation of technology throughout grades TK- 12. Students will show an increase in technology proficiency for real-world application.				
Outcomes:				
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
Create the LCER Technology Task Force comprised of administrators, elementary and secondary teachers, and IT staff. This team will attend an Executive Briefing at the Apple Headquarters in Cupertino, CA in July 2016. Strategic planning for technology integration will be the outcome of this team.	Grades TK-12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Conferences and Travel- \$5,000	
Develop additional courses with an intentional	Grades	_ <u>x_</u> ALL	Class	

computer coc magnet class courses will b	ling will es. In g e adde	logy integration. In grades K-5, become part of the weekly grades 6-12, technology elective to the master schedule. These d Computer Science.	TK-12	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglis Foster YouthRedesignate proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)		Supplies for Coding- \$500 Class Supplies for Robotics- \$5,000 Class Supplies for Comp. Sci \$5,000
GOAL 5:	Increa	ase a-g completion rates for grad	Related State a Prioriti 1_x_2_x_34 7_x_8 COE only: 9 Local : Specify	es: _x_ 5_x_ 6_x_ _x_		
Identified Nee	ed:	 The AAE Professional Learning Community clarified that the AAE Mission is to "prepare students for post-secondary success through a relevant, rigorous college preparatory education." Less than half of our recent graduates have completed a-g requirements necessary to immediately enroll in the CSU/UC system. In 2014, AAE had a cohort graduation rate of 97.8%. Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students cohort graduates are was 94.3%. Of those graduates, 42.7% completed the UC/CSU required courses. In 2015, the cohort graduation rate of 99%. Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students cohort graduation rate of 90%. Of those graduates, 50 out of 95 (or 52.7% of) graduates from 2014-15 completed UC/CSU required courses. In 2016, AAE had a cohort graduation rate of 97.37%. Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students cohort graduation rate was 98.7%. Of the Class of 2016, 46 out of 76, or 60.5% of students will meet a-g requirements 				n recent n. ohort graduation uation rate was required

Goal Applies to:	(Note: Of this number, 7 students met their VPA requirement at a community college. Because the class was not needed for graduation requirements, the students did not transfer the course to AAE. Although we can confirm that they met the requirement, Illuminate will not reflect this, and as a result, CalPads won't recognize it. If these students are not factored into the total, 39 out of 76 or 51% will meet a-g requirements.) Schools: Academy for Academic Excellence Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All			
		LCAP Yea	ar 1 : 2014-15	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: As a result of increase student academic advisement and changes to the master schedule, more than 50% of the class of 2015 will meet a-g requirements.				an 50% of the
Actions/Services Scope of Scope of Service			Budgeted Expenditures	
5 5 1 7 7		Grades 9- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	ERWC Curriculum- \$5,000
······································		Grades 9- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Classified Support Salaries- \$66,000
Revised of graduation	requirements to reflect a-g	Grades 9-	<u>_x</u> ALL	None

requirements (removal of Health and Geography requirement and update of Math requirement)	12	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	beyond the base
	LCAP Yea	ar 2 : 2015-16	
Expected Annual Further increase the percentage Measurable Outcomes:	je of a-g com	pletion from 2014-2015 percentage to 60%.	
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Add additional a-g offerings into the master schedule (computer science, integrated science, business).	Grades 9- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: <u>Low Income pupils</u> <u>English Learners</u> <u>Foster Youth</u> <u>Redesignated fluent English</u> proficient <u>Other</u> Subgroups:(Specify)	Professional Development for Computer Science course- \$1,500 Curriculum- \$5,000 Lab Equipment- \$7,000
Expand college and career education for all high school students.	Grades 9- 12	<u>_x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Computers for Career Center- \$1,300 Instructional Materials- \$500 Field Trips- \$2,000
Continued revision of AAE graduation requirements	Grades 9-	<u> </u>	Teacher

to mirror a-g requirements (updated math and science requirements, required foreign language). Will build in a waiver pathway for students with special needs based on IEP/504 or consideration of the AAE Schoolwide Intervention Team.		12	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Salary- \$6,000
			ar 3 : 2016-17	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Further increase the percentage		pletion from the present percentage to 65%.	
Actio	ons/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
Implement the redesigned MyMentor 2.0. Features include: embedded four-year plans, student dashboard, personalized college and career planning tools, test prep and complete learning management system.		Grades 9- 12.	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	MyMentor 2.0 funded through K16 Bridge program
Guide all students (with few exceptions) to complete their a-g coursework. Will build in a waiver pathway for students with special needs based on IEP/504 or consideration of the AAE Schoolwide Intervention Team.		Grades 9- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	None beyond base
Increase course offerin	ngs in the master schedule		<u>_x_</u> ALL	None

(integrated math and science, technology, advanced mathematics, honors courses and concurrent college courses).

OR:	beyond base
Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	
Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English	
proficientOther Subgroups:	
(Specify)	

GOAL 6:	•	multiple data sources when making decisions that impact curriculum, uction, assessments and school programs.			or Local Priorities: <u>x 5 x 6 x 7 x</u> <u>x</u> 9_ 10	
Identified Need : The WASC visiting team recommended that the AAE develop a comprehensive long-term plan for assessments, instruction and curriculum adoption. Goal Applies to: Schools: Academy for Academic Excellence Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All LCAP Year 1: 2014-15						
Expected Measu Outcor	Annual guide the development of comr		ards charts for English/Language e assessments and course scope		s. These charts will	
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identif	fied scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
5		Grades K- 12	_x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish	Learners	Teacher Salaries: \$30,000 PLC Professional Development	

and given structured time to complete this data analysis.			Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$5,500+sub costs		
The Director of Research and Development attended the San Bernardino County Assessment Network meetings, Association of Consolidated Programs Administrators meetings and trainings provided by the California Department of Education, CAASPP office and Smarter Balanced. Information on data and assessment use was disseminated to the appropriate school site teams.		Grades K- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Travel and Conferences \$600		
Elementary and secondary grade levels piloted math curriculum based upon the transition to CCSS. Multiple sources were reviewed by administration and teacher teams before curricula was purchased.		Grades K- 12	<u>x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Carnegie Curriculum- \$43,540 EngageNY Curriculum- \$6,242		
LCAP Year 2: 2015-16						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: The ALT will draft curriculum adoption						
		Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
PLC teams will use the newly developed scope and sequences, in conjunction with assessment data, to create a more defined curriculum adoption plan.Gra 12		Grades K- 12	_x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English	Teacher Salaries \$30,000 Travel and Conferences		

			proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	\$7,500	
Multiple data sources will be analyzed to determine program and course needs. These needs will be prioritized based upon WASC/LCAP action plan and goals.		Grades K- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	None beyond base	
The PLC will begin the full WASC self-study in preparation for the Spring 2017 visit. The groups will use multiple data sources to drive this effort.		Grades K- 12	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Dues and Memberships \$1,000 annually	
LCAP Year 3: 2016-17					
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: The AAE PLC will have established the collection and analysis of multiple data sources at each grade level to guide the school's overall mission, vision and goals. Additionally, this data will be analyzed to drive instructional and course decisions.					
Actions/Services Scope of Service		Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
1 5		Grades TK-12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English	None beyond base	
		proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)			
---	-----------------	--	------------------		
The PLC will complete the full WASC self-study for the Spring 2017 visit. Multiple data sources will drive this effort.	Grades TK-12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	None beyond base		
A detailed Assessment Plan will be developed to ensure that each grade level/department is collecting diagnostic, formative and summative assessment data.	Grades TK-12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	None beyond base		

GOAL 7: Recru	it and retain highly qualified certificated and classified staff.	Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \underline{x} 2 \underline{3} 4 \underline{x} 5 \underline{x} 6 \underline{x}$ $7 \underline{8 \underline{x}}$ COE only: 9 <u>10</u> Local : Specify	
The supporting data includes: 2013-2014 Turnover RatesIdentified Need:88 total Certificated Staff (including substitutes)- 22 full time, 3 part time and 9 substitutes.100 total Classified Staff (including substitutes)- 3 full time, 7 part time and 11 substitutes. 2014-2015 Turnover Rates- (as known as of May 26, 2015)			

 120 total Certificated Staff (including substitutes)- 5 full time, 2 part time and 15 substitutes. 124 total Classified Staff (including substitutes)- 1 full time, 11 part time and 17 substitutes. 2015-2016 Turnover Rates (as known as of May 24, 2016) 115 total Certificated Staff (including substitutes)- 4 full time, 1 part time and 5 substitutes. 119 total Classified Staff (including substitutes)- 4 full time, 4 part time and 2 substitutes. 				
Goal Applies to: Schools: Academy for Academ Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A		3		
		ear 1: 2014-15		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	ff will show a	decline.		
Actions/Services Scope of Service Serv				
Reinstated the Exit Interview for staff who are not returning to determine their reasons for changing employment.	Grades K- 12	<u>_x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	None beyond base.	
3% across the board salary increases for classified and certificated staff. Increased the certificated substitute daily rate in order to attract highly qualified substitutes who are then available for recruitment.	Grades K- 12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	1100 Teacher Salaries: Step/class increases- 3% salary raises- Substitute increase	

Teacher support services, such as: Induction (formerly BTSA), Instructional Technologist, Reading Specialist, Education Specialists, Instructional Assistants, Kindergarten Aides, and Academic Leadership Team, School Psychologist Intern.	Grades K- 12	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	from \$100 to \$120/per day 5800: Professional Consulting Services \$2569 1100: Teacher Salaries \$70,000 3000: Employee Benefits 1100 Teacher Salary \$70,000 3000: Employee Benefits \$100 Teacher Salary \$70,000 3000: Employee Benefits \$100 Teacher Salary \$70,000 3000: Employee Benefits \$2569
Expected Annual Turnover rates for staff will sho Measurable Outcomes:			

Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
5% across the board salary increase for all regular staff, including certificated and classified. Build additional longevity steps into the salary schedule.	Grades K- 12	<u>_x_ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Teacher Salaries Classified Instructional Salaries Classified Support Salaries \$500,000		
AAE will continue to fully support the Induction and applicable intern programs.	Grades K- 12	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Professional Consulting Services \$2,080		
Professional Development in core subject areas to support the state common core state standards, LCAP goals, Leadership training, for instructional staff and administration.	Grades K- 12	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Travel and Conferences \$50,000		
LCAP Year 3: 2016-17					
Expected Annual Turnover rates for teaching star Measurable Outcomes:		decline to 5% or less.			
Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		

The AAE will give 3% across the board raises staff salaries to close the discrepancy with the local LEAs.	Grades TK-12	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	3% Salary Increases- \$388,600
AAE will continue to fully support staff support services to include induction services, on-going training, employee recognition, schoolwide surveys and evaluations, and a strong school culture.	Grades TK-12	x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Intern and Induction Support Providers- \$2,025 per teacher
Professional Development in core subject areas to support the common core state standards, next generation science standards and new curriculum adoption.	Grades TK-12	ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups: (Specify)	Travel and Conferences \$65,000

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific schoolsites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	AAE Goal 1: Increase in Math proficiency rates	Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \underline{x} 2 \underline{x} 3 \underline{4} \underline{x} 5 \underline{x} 6 \underline{x}$ $7 \underline{x} 8 \underline{x}$ COE only: 9 <u>10</u> Local : Specify		
Goal Applies to	Schools: Academy for Academic Excellence Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Develop grade specific scope and sequence aligned to CCSS. Vertical teaming to articulate best practices. Create math intervention facilitating targeted instruction. Increase in SBAC performance. 	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 curriculu developr on the co PLC allo 12 to dis instruction Math inter homerood Use of d assessminiation 	entation of common core aligned in in grades 1-4 and 6-9, allowed for ment of scope and sequence based ommon core. wed for vertical teaming grades K- cuss academic vocabulary and on. ervention in grades 7-12 during om and elective periods. iagnostic and common formative hents created in Illuminate to show in math proficiency

LCAP Year: 2015-2016					
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services			
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures		
 Fully transition to Common Core State Standards in grades K-12. Transition to an integrated math approach in grades 6-11. 9th graders will take Integrated Math I and 10th graders will take Integrated Math II. Honors courses added for both courses. Flex time for structured re-teaching and enrichment in grades K-12. Professional development for math teachers (California Math Council, Carnegie Training, EngageNY training). The Secondary Math Curriculum Lead was given a release period to coach and mentor other secondary math teachers. 	Curriculum: New AP Calculus textbooks, Integrated Math I Carnegie textbooks, Grades 6-8 Carnegie Textbooks \$60,000 Instructional Materials: EngageNY printed copies for grades K-5 \$10,000 Professional Development \$5,000 Technology: IXL for all grades, TimezAttack, FrontRow, 1	 All grades have fully transitioned to CCSS in Math. 5th grade implemented Engage NY in order to be consistent with all other elementary grade levels. Grades 7-10 implemented an integrated math curriculum using Carnegie math. Honors Integrated Math 8, Honors Integrated I, Honors Algebra II, Honors Trigonometry and AP Statistics were added to the master schedule. Intervention math homerooms were added at the secondary level. The Math Lead worked closely with teachers in grades 5-9 in order to support their transition to common core curriculum. She assisted them individually in the areas of: instructional strategies, assessment, planning, classroom management, data analysis and grading practices. 	Curriculum: New AP Calculus textbooks, Integrated Math I Carnegie textbooks, Grades 6-8 Carnegie Textbooks \$43,540 Instructional Materials: EngageNY printed copies for grades K-5 \$2,200 Technology: IXL for all grades, TimezAttack, FrontRow, additional iPads, 1 to 1 Laptop		

			to 1 Laptop program, ELMOs \$25,000			program, ELMOs \$28,361
Scope of service:	Grades K-12			Scope of service:	Grades K-12	
<u>x ALL</u> OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)		_x_ALL OR:h _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners _Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)				
and expenditures result of reviewi	actions, services, will be made as a ng past progress ges to goals?	Elementary: This year, all grades (K-5) used EngageNY as their primary curriculum. The materials were sere out for printing to provide students with an interactive textbook. Teaching teams collaborated to determine pacing and assessments for their students. Next year, EngageNY will continue to be the K-5 Math curriculum as evaluation of CAASPP Math scores support the use of this program to meet standards. Transitional Kindergarten is being added for 2016-17 and will also use EngageNY Math. Secondary: Last year, students who were significantly below grade level were given a mandatory elective remedial math class. This approach did not have the desired positive impact on mastery. This year, the regular grade level math was replaced with an intervention fundamentals math course in middle and high school. High school students will need to complete three years of math with Integrated 1 as part of their coursework in order to meet graduation requirements. The foundations level class will count toward their graduation requirements.				

Next year, we are recruiting a part time math teacher to teach 3 sections of remedial mathematics. This will allow our Education Specialist to focus support with the Tier 3 stud opposed to teaching larger Math Fundamentals courses. Money will be budgeted for professional development digital Carnegie component for grades 6-12. Additionally, new Calculus curriculum will be purchased. We will also pursue professional development trair instructional staff for research based intervention math instruction through the D/M SELPA and/or the county office.				t with the Tier 3 students as be budgeted for 2. Additionally, new nal development training for	
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	AAE Goal 2: Increas	se in Writing proficiency rat	es in grades K	-12.	Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \underline{x} 2 \underline{x} 3 \underline{4} \underline{x}$ $5 \underline{x} 6 \underline{x} 7 \underline{x} 8 \underline{x}$ COE only: 9 10Local : Specify
Goal Applies to		y for Academic Excellence			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Students will de proficiency in th 	emonstrate grade level ne major writing domains of: sitory and argumentative.	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	K-2 and diagnosti the PLC decided intervention as a structured writing focus. The teache supported by the development of R	versal screening in grades ic testing in upper grades, to elevate reading primary focus with instruction as a secondary ers have been actively Reading Specialist in the tesponse to Intervention structional blocks of time.

	LCAP Year	r: 2015-2016	interventions. Dur year, the Seconda developed a revis platform. Each En 12 will use a comr upload their stude student portfolio. designed and dev collaboration of th address the need	eloped through the e English Department to to track student ng across middle and high
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated_Actual Annual Expenditures
 Schoolwide writing assessment benchmarks will be revised and aligned to the CCSS. The assessments will be given three times per year and will be vertically aligned in grades K-12. Baselines will be established for all students. Professional development focused on Schoolwide areas of student weaknesses. 	Reading Specialist- \$80,614 Teacher on Assignment- \$79,285 DRA Kits- \$961 Stipends for Academic Leadership	 Schoolwide writing assessments have not yet been revised. Students in grades K-2 have been assessed using the DRA three times this year. Students in grades K-5 have been assessed using newly developed common formative assessments. Elementary daily schedule has 		Reading Specialist- \$80,614 Teacher on Assignment- \$79,285 DRA Kits- \$962 Stipends for Academic Leadership Team- \$25,000 Illuminate Package- \$22,000

\$2 (\$2 		Team- \$25,000 Illuminate- \$20,000 Professional Development- \$2,000	 been modified to provide additional collaboration time for grade level teachers to analyze student mastery and build flex groups. Daily flexible learning time has been spent on leveled instruction based upon individual student performance on formative assessments. Summative English/Language Arts tests have been developed in grades K-8. 			
Scope of service:	Grades K-12			Scope of service: x ALL	Grades K-12	
			OR: _Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? The instructional focus shifted to reading fluency and comprehension as a result of the diagnostic test results in elementary grades. We pursued professional development for writin This continues to be a need for all grade levels for the 16-17 school year. The elementary teachers piloted CCSS aligned writing and ELA curriculum. The middle and high school teac developed a detailed writing portfolio to track the revised Schoolwide Writing Assessment ba					development for writing. ear. The elementary e and high school teachers	

Original GOAL from	on CCSS and scored with a new ELA curriculum that has continue to collaborate on th AAF Goal 3: Implementation of ongoing, forma	s a strong writing ne writing projec	g strand. Middle and high ts that will be submitted to	school teachers will			
prior year LCAP:	ar outside assessments to ensure students are reaching mastery. COE only: 9_ Local : Specify						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Applicable Pupil Subgroups: All Development of common formative assessments in grades K-12 in English/Language Arts and Mathematics. Development of formative assessments in other secondary core subjects. Further development of Schoolwide Professional Learning Community in order to facilitate the collaboration necessary to ensure student mastery of essential standards. Continued implementation of outside summative and placement assessments, including: SBAC, PSAT, SAT, ACT, AP, Accuplacer, ASVAB, and CST/CMA (Science). Development of detailed assessment plan for all grade levels. 	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 assessments in g English/Language Began development assessments in o subjects. Ongoing professi development and Continued implen summative and p including: SBAC, Accuplacer, ASVA (Science). The AAE assessing several grade lev 	e Arts and Mathematics. ent of formative other secondary core onal learning community collaboration. nentation of outside lacement assessments, PSAT, SAT, ACT, AP, AB and CST/CMA ment plan shows that els need to further develop s in order to adequately			

Planned Actions/Services	LCAP Yea Budgeted Expenditures	ar: 2015-16 Actual Actions/Ser	vices Estimated <u>_</u> Actual Annual Expenditures
 Incorporate formative assessments in ELA and Math in all grades. Continue to build and revise ongoing, formative assessments until there are a minimum of one per month per subject. Revise the elementary and secondary scheduled to provide additional teacher collaboration time. Create intentional secondary grade level focuses to ensure students develop postsecondary goals and are working toward them each semester. Implementation of Illuminate Integrated Data Management and Student Information System. Accuplacer assessment given to all seniors. Hire full-time data clerk to facilitate testing schedules and maintain compliance and support data analysis. 	Professional Development Illuminate Trainings and Workshops- \$1,200 System: Illuminate- \$20,000	 Wednesday early release schedule organized by AAE Administration Team in order to facilitate PLC tasks including the development of formative assessments. Implementation of Illuminate Integrated Data Management and Student Information System. Ongoing Illuminate trainings and workshops. Ongoing teaching team support sessions with Teacher on Assignment and Administration to identify essential standards, develop course scope and sequences and create formative assessments. Eighth grade developed an intervention homeroom as a result of first semester assessment results. A senior homeroom was 	Professional Development Illuminate Trainings and Workshops- \$1,200 System: Illuminate- \$22,000

			 attend VVG community These study preliminary and then g directed as online prog completion modules, s reassesse All sophon juniors and ASVAB. T Tech admi and discuss students. T shared witt administra 	r students planning to C or another y college institution. dents were given a y Accuplacer exam given access to a self- ssessment prep gram. Upon n of the online students were d with the Accuplacer. nores and interested d seniors took the he Counseling Career inistered this exam ssed the results with This information was th teaching and tive staff.	
Scope of service:	Grades K-12		Scope of service:	Grades K-12	
			Learners		
	actions, services, will be made as a			ime. Last year, the lunc consolidation of the can	chtime was extended to npuses has resulted in

	wing past progress anges to goals?	significant changes to the ele time did not prove to be univ instruction. For a combination recess period in the morning We planned to invest addition next year in response to the as the adoption of base curr Secondary ELA teachers de with a common writing rubric to best monitor student prog An additional year is needed Mathematics for grades K-12 The AAE K-12 Assessment assessments for each cours	versally effective on of these reaso of for elementary onal money in int DRA results and iculum was prior evelop revised wi c. They will conti iress and master d to develop CCS 2. Plan will be upda	for the primary purpose ons, the collaboration time teachers. ervention reading curricu d flex time observations. itized for the 16-17 schoo riting assessments based nue to develop this writing y. SS-aligned, formative ass	of planning flex-time e was added to duty-free lum for primary grades This continues to be a need of year. upon the CCSS evaluated g portfolio system in order
Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	AAE Goal 4: Incorp core curriculum.	orate the use of technology	and technolog	ical advances into its	Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \underline{x} 2 \underline{x} 3 \underline{x} 4 \underline{x}$ $5 \underline{x} 6 \underline{x} 7 \underline{x} 8_{$
Goal Applies to	Schools: Academ Applicable Pupil Su	y for Academic Excellence			
Expected Annual Measurable	0,	egration will be evident in all all grade levels.	Actual Annual Measurable		s evident in all grade levels. ration is highest in middle

Outcomes:		Outcomes:		ed by MyMentor usage, ninate assessments and ations.
Planned Actions/Services			Actual Actions/Ser	vices
	Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated_Actual Annual Expenditures
 Additional iPads in grades K-3. One to one laptops in grades 4-12. Revise AAE Technology Plan Provide in-house trainings on MyMentor use Coding class in kindergarten Technology and typing instruction in elementary rotation classes Purchase of digital textbooks and resources to support instruction and mastery Teacher support from Instructional Technologist/TOA Assessments on Illuminate GAVRT/Space Science Program Robotics class 	Total Instructional Technology Costs- \$99,533	 grades 4-12. iPad centers consisting of New compute Elementary france and high schedars (2000) Robotics classes. Robotics classes. Robotics classes. Robotics classes. Use of MyMe Weekly keybe elementary fraction (2000) Teacher supe Instructional Purchase of math and pseinstruction and (2000) In-house traition (2000) Assessment 	in grades K-3, f 100 total iPads. ter lab in South to serve grades K-3 nool yearbook ss (22 students in entor (grades 4-12) ooarding instruction in magnet classes. uction in kindergarten grade. oport from Technologist/TOA digital textbooks in ychology to support ind student access inings on Illuminate or provided	Total Instructional Technology Costs- \$99,533

Scope of service:	Grades K-12			Scope of service:	Grades K-12	
<u>_x_</u> ALL				<u>_x_</u> ALL		
OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)			
and expenditures result of reviewi	actions, services, will be made as a ng past progress ges to goals?	 Additacce The postp Deve Increatechr Start supp have custo Oper home The initiation 	tional iPads will ss. purchase of ac poned due to bud elopment of a sec rase in the loar nology. ing with the 4 th g orted by the sch a greater stand omization. ning of the library ework and projec new LCER Tec	be purchased fo dditional ELMOs lget constraints. The cond year Compute ner laptop supply grade class in 201 nool as opposed to ardized platform f before and after s ts on their laptops th Task Force wi professional deve	for middle school and his continues to be a ne er Science course for hi / to ensure that all 6-17, the one to one la o parent supported. The for all students without school to provide a place if on the loaner program	rovide for greater student d all math teachers was eed as budget allows. igh school students. students have access to aptop program will be fully his will allow the school to the continual requests for ce for students to complete

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	AAE Goal 5: Increase a-g comp	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1_x_2_3_4_5 6_7_8 COE only: 9_10 Local : Specify			
Goal Applies to	Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Increase A-G completion by 5% pe next 3 years.	r year for the	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	The Class of 2015 a-g completion rate is estimated to be 53%. This would be a 10% increase.	
		LCAP Ye	ar : 2015-16		
	Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
		Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated_Actual Annual Expenditures
 Increase Counseling Department Staff from 1 FTE to 2 FTE. Hire Data Clerk FTE. 			 Increase a-g course offerings (Spanish, VPA, Psychology, ERWC, AP Statistics, Honors Theory of Knowledge elective) Counseling staff increased to 2.5 FTE (1 FTE is split between Transition Coordinator and Career Tech) Revision of graduation requirements to reflect a-g 		

				 and Geogra and update requiremen Four year p all students Parent noti core course (ex: English Foundation Revised Co Guide and posted on v 	nt) plans completed for s fication regarding es outside of the a-g h 9, Math ns) pllege Planning Course Catalogs	
Scope of service:	Grades 9-12			Scope of service:	Grades 9-12	
				<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pu Learners Foster Youth fluent English prof Subgroups:(Speci	_Redesignated ficient _Other	
 What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? The graduation requirements will continue to be revised until they closely align with requirements. Increased college and career counseling for all high school students Increased course offerings introduced into the master schedule (Computer Science Business, Integrated Science 9, Integrated Math II) 4 year plan to be placed on MyMentor for increased access 				dents		

Original GOAL from prior year LCAP:	AAE Goal 6: Use multiple data s curriculum, instruction, assessi	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 12345 678 COE only: 910 Local : Specify			
Goal Applies to	. Schools: Academy for Academ Applicable Pupil Subgroups: A				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 Applicable Pupir Subgroups. All Incorporate formative assessments in ELA and Math in all grades. Continue to build and revise ongoing, formative assessments until there is a minimum of one per month per subject. 		 Formative assessments were builluminate for English/Language grades K-8. These assessments are adminis quarterly. In Math, grades K-5 a developed quarterly, formative a in Illuminate. Measurable Outcomes: Secondary math teachers used pre-built assessments. Scope and sequences are under development for all courses in a These will be used to complete assessment plan and curriculum procedures. 		lish/Language Arts in hts are administered , grades K-5 also rly, formative assessments reachers used the Carnegie ents. nces are under current all courses in all grades. d to complete the
	Planned Actions/Services	LCAP Yea	ar : 2014-15	Actual Actiona/Soc	
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Actual Actions/Services Pupils to be served within identified scope of service Budgeted Expenditures		Budgeted

Under the guidance of the Academic Leadership Team, the foundation of the Professional Learning Community was be established. The teams were trained to analyze data from multiple sources and given structured time to complete this data analysis.	Grades K-12	_x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Teacher Salaries \$25,000 PLC Professional Development \$200
The Director of Research and Development attended the San Bernardino County Assessment Network meetings, Association of Consolidated Programs Administrators meetings and trainings provided by the California Department of Education, CAASPP office and Smarter Balanced. Information on data and assessment use was also disseminated to the appropriate school site teams.	Grades K-12	_x_ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Certificated Administrator Salary
Elementary and secondary math curriculum was piloted based upon the transition to CCSS. Multiple sources were reviewed by administration and teacher teams before curricula was purchased.	Grades K-12	OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	Carnegie Curriculum- \$43,540 EngageNY Curriculum- \$2,200
Grades K-12 x ALL OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English	- <u>_x_</u> ALL	<u>x</u> ALL OR: Low Income pupilsEnglish Learners	-

proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	-	Foster YouthRedesignated fluent English proficientOther Subgroups:(Specify)	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	data sources when making which we communicate up reviewed by LCER and AA	need to find different approaches to support curricular and instructional decisions. In ac dates learned through various workshops a E administration to ensure its effectiveness implementing changes based upon data.	ddition, the methods by and trainings needs to be

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds\$____388,841______Goal 1: Increase in math proficiency rates in grades K-12.____388,841______

The AAE stakeholders determined that the most effective use of supplemental and concentration grant funds was to purchase common core aligned curriculum for all math courses in grades K-10 in the 15-16 school year. All students have access to the core curriculum through grade level math classes and intervention courses as needed. The elementary grades used the EngageNY Math curriculum and the secondary grades use the Carnegie Integrated Curriculum. The total spent on new curriculum for math was \$43,540.

Professional development was provided to teachers to support the implementation of the common core math standards, best instructional practices and new integrated curricula. The training costs for the 15-16 school year were approximately \$4,396 to include training fees, travel and substitute costs.

Supplemental math software for the 15-16 school year included Big Brainz Timez Attack for \$3,180, IXL (grades K-5) for

\$15,800, and IXL (High School) for \$863.

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds\$_____388,841Goal 2: Increase writing proficiency rates in grades K-12.

The AAE stakeholders determined that the most effective use of supplemental and concentration grant funds was to first focus on early literacy intervention in grades K-5. As such, a reading specialist position was created to support this need (salary and benefits are approximately \$95,000). In addition, the support teachers administered the Diagnostic Reading Assessment (DRA) to all students in grades K-2 and at risk students in grades 3-5. The cost for this assessment was \$961.

The stakeholders also determined that high school intervention was needed for reading and writing. Thus, the English Reading and Writing Course (ERWC) was provided to juniors and seniors who had not yet reached "exempt" status as determined by their EAP assessment or who were likely to need additional support in this area. Additional English Language Arts intervention courses are offered at all secondary grade levels.

The High School English Department recognizes the need to incorporate academic research into writing projects. To supplement our limited library, they continue to rely on the database, EBSCO Host. This database costs \$5,788 per year. Additional supplemental software to support writing instruction included: Turn It In for \$1,662 per year and NewsELA for \$4,000 per year.

Four teachers representing elementary, middle and high school attended the Inland Area Writing Project. The cost of this professional development was \$5,251.

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds

388,841

Goal 3: Implement ongoing, formative assessment data, in addition to outside assessments, to ensure students are reaching mastery.

The AAE stakeholders determined that the most effective use of supplemental and concentration grant funds to meet this goal would be to transition to an integrated student information and data management system. After thorough investigation, a committee comprised of classified, certificated and administrative staff selected Illuminate. The cost is \$21,962 annually. To make this transition effective, ongoing professional development was provided to staff members. The cost was approximately \$1,200 plus travel and substitute costs.

Other spending linked to this goal includes: the purchase of Diagnostic Online Reading Assessment (annual subscription \$800), Renaissance Leaning (annual cost \$19,280), and *On Your Mark* books for the Academic Leadership Team members.

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds	\$	388,841	
Goal 4: Incorporate the use of technology and technological adv	ances	into core curriculum.	

The AAE stakeholders determined that the most effective use of supplemental and concentration grant funds was to increase the technological tools for all students in all grades. Spending in this category includes: computers, iPads, printers, monitors, ELMOs, educational applications, LED TV, mini laptops and other equipment purchased (\$38,000).

We also upgraded the Mindstorm Robots for the newly developed Computer Science course to the new EV3 models. This cost approximately \$5,000 for 10 robots, accessory kits and software site license.

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds\$______388,841______Goal 5: Increase a-g completion rates for graduating seniors.\$______388,841______

The AAE stakeholders determined that the most effective use of supplemental and concentration grant funds was to increase the counseling staff to better meet the academic advisement needs of high school students. The counseling department was increased from 1 FTE to 2.5 FTE. The increased staffing costs in this area for the 14-15 SY were

\$65,928. These changes were maintained in the 15-16 school year.

Additional courses were also added to the master schedule (Computer Science, Visual Performing Arts, Psychology, ERWC, AP Statistics, Honors Theory of Knowledge elective, Honors Environmental Science) to allow greater access to ag coursework for all students. Increased costs included curriculum, additional teaching stipends and professional development fees (approximately \$10,000). Other funding for these additions is embedded in the base funding.

Our counselors attend the yearly California State University Counselor Conference in San Bernardino. This conference costs \$220 plus travel. The total spend in the 15-16 school year was approximately \$500.

 Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds
 \$_____388,841_____

 Goal 6: Use multiple data sources when making decisions that impact curriculum, instruction, assessments and school programs.

The spending to meet this school goal has been articulated in Goals 2, 3 and 5.

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

2.33 %	
--------	--

Since the Academy for Academic Excellence has an unduplicated student count of 28.97%, the stakeholders determined that the most effective use of all funds to meet the LCAP goals for unduplicated pupils is to spend them on a schoolwide basis. This allows programs to meet the learning needs of students within the general educational setting for unduplicated pupils. This falls within the general philosophy of the Response to Intervention and Professional Learning Community models.

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

(b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.

- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:

- (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
- (2) The total number of cohort members.
- (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- 01-13-15 [California Department of Education]

AAE Demographic Information:

Ethnicity 50.71% White 31.52% Hispanic 6.94% Two or more races 4.25% Asian 3.47% Black or African American 2.41% Filipino 0.35% American Indian 0.28% Native Hawaiian 0.07% Unknown

English Learners 1.2% EL 98.8% Non EL

Special Education 7.86% Special Education 92.14% General Education

Socio-Economically Disadvantaged 30.03% SED 69.97% Not SED

Gender 50.14% Female 49.86% Male